

Petr Kratochvíl

The Russo-Ukrainian Crisis and the Consequences for the EU

CERI-CEFRES-IIR

Prague, 11 June 2015





INSTITUTE OF INTERNATIONAL RELATIONS PRAGUE

Narrative I: Russia as a divisive issue



- Fragmentation as the keyword
- Fears of the Russian "fifth column" in the EU
- The alliance of populists/ extremists with the Kremlin
- Typical for the CR too:
 The absence of FP
 consensus

A REGION DISUNITED?

CENTRAL EUROPEAN RESPONSES TO THE RUSSIA-UKRAINE CRISIS

EUROPE POLICY PAPER

FEBRUARY 2015

Edited by Joerg Forbrig1

The Issue and Policy Priorities	1
Introduction: A Region Disunited? Joerg Forbrig	2
Bulgaria: Increasingly Assertive but not Hawkish Marin Lessenski	7
The Czech Republic: Lacking Foreign Policy Consensus Petr Kratochvíl	2
Estonia: In Pursuit of a Value-Based Foreign Policy Merle Maigre	ć
Hungary: Singled out by the Critics Istvan Gyarmati	1
Latvia: EU Presidency at a Time of Geopolitical Crisis Kristīne Bērziņa	5
Lithuania: A Staunch Supporter of a European Ukraine Vytis Jurkonis	9
Poland: Searching for a Strategic Response Michał Baranowski and Bartosz Cichocki	4
Romania: Largely Immune to Russian Pressures Alina Inayeh	9
Slovakia: Ambiguity in Action Alexander Duleba	3

Narrative II: The conflict as a unifying issue



- Expansionist Russia as the constitutive other
- A dramatic shift in Germany, The Netherlands and the Nordic countries
- Surprises
 - Sanctions imposed
 - Sanctions gradually strengthened
 - Sanction in place for 14 months
- Russia Today: sanctions cannot survive long
 lack of EU unity will prevent new sanctions

How to explain the persistence of sanctions?



	Hawks	Multilateralists	Pragmatists	Friends of Russia
Sanctions	Good	Unfortunate, but necessary	Unfortunate, but necessary	Bad
Military aid	Yes	No	No	No
EU coordination	Not necessary	Yes	Yes	Not necessary
EU doing	Not enough	Well	Well	Badly
Russia as	Enemy	Rival	Market	Potential ally
Czech Rep.	TOP09, ODS	MFA, KDU-ČSL, Greens	PM, MoF, ČSSD, ANO	President, Communists, Dawn

How to explain the persistence of sanctions?



Two processes

- 1. Strong domestic polarization + EU-wide visibility of domestic debates
- 2. Trans-national alliances of both supporters and opponents of the Kremlin
- The typical outcome: pro-sanction majority vs. a vocal pro-Kremlin minority (F, UK, G: 30-40-20-10)
- Emergence of a thin European public sphere?
 - Similar topics, identical timing, similar argumentation and framing
 - Overall belief that EU-wide action has to be taken

